



Compensation and Classification Study

Summary:

Staff Focus Groups December 2010





Background

- Presentation to employees at 4 meetings
 - December 15 and 16
- Also with Administrative Team and Project Review Committee
- Staff divided into smaller groups
- Approximately 132 staff attended
- Numbers in parentheses after responses indicate number of groups with same response





What do you like and not like about compensation and classification plans at MLS? What about the pay grades/ranges? What's good, what's not so good? What would you change? How? Why?





Like

- Information easily available online
- Pay seems fair (2)
- Merit (2) and cost-of-living raises (4)
- Above minimum wage (2)
- Benefits (2)
- Increased pay for part-time employees
- Bonuses for ½-time staff
- Works well as long as incentives remain
- Appreciate opportunity for input (2)
- Merit bonuses when pay grade is maxed out



Dislike

- Salary cap on jobs/topping out (4)
- Grades too close together (2)
- Too many grades/titles (2)
- Need better explanation/transparency of how classification, upgrades determined (3)
- Concern about properly valuing job duties, responsibilities and education (3)
- Inconsistency among departments in how upgrades, etc. are handled
- Raise at range max capped at 3%
- Waiting period for part-time benefits





Dislike

- Seems that part-time employees can't get another position in the system
- Trend towards more half-time positions without benefits; losing employees
- Job scope creep
- Underpayment of positions
- One-rater evaluation system
- Positions being cross-trained to work out-of-class
- Workload issues (small vs. large libraries)
- Some positions need additional levels (i.e., Circ Clerk)



What do you think about how employees move through the pay ranges? Does it make sense to you? How would you change that?





Moving through pay ranges

- Makes sense (4)
- Does not make sense, need more understanding (3)
- Add more levels/promotion opportunities within job classes (3)
- Base increases on merit/performance/goals (3)
- Reward experience and skill levels (2)
- When positions change, pay should change accordingly
- Add steps to the grades
- Lots of people at range max; do not like cap on end of pay scale (3)
- No mentoring at the upper levels
- No empowerment





Moving through pay ranges

- Education benefit is a bonus to increase pay
- Understand range maximum but 75% bonus may not "feel" comparable
- Need more clarification when you reach max
- Increases too small don't follow cost-of-living
- Attainable levels in evaluation process as incentive to perform
- Need better incentives for long-term employees
- Supervisors should be evaluated by their employees for fairness, consistency
- How can employee go beyond supervisor to get job reevaluated? Can there be a process?



What about benefits? What are your thoughts about what is offered by the Library? What is good? What needs improvement?





Benefits - Good

- Good all around (6)
- Great leave benefits (doctor/dental, etc.) (3)
- Very good/excellent health and retirement (3)
- Vision care (2)
- Competitive (2)
- Nice to get bonus days for not using sick leave
- Long-term care insurance





Benefits - Dislike

- Unregulated sick leave (3)
- Waiting period for benefits (3)
- Lack of part-time benefits (3)
- Health Insurance needs improvement (2)
- Benefits costs increase with pay raises (2)
- Initial vacation time seems low
- Dental
- Caremark
- Deductible
- Disparity of benefits for different positions; how determined?
- Max benefit low



Benefits - Would Like

- Sick leave bank (4)
- All leave as Paid Time Off (3)
- More options on insurance (2)
- Paid for unused sick leave
- More carry over of sick leave
- Elder care leave
- Wellness programs/gym memberships
- Able to walk on breaks





Benefits - Would Like

- Third option for health plans employee and spouse (without family)
- Health insurance for part-timers
- Flex time
- Incentives for outside education opportunities





Compared to other employers you may be familiar with, does the pay for MLS' positions seem high? Low? Right on? What about benefits?





Outside Comparisons

- Right on for some or all positions (10)
- Low for some or all positions (6); low compared to private sector
- Varies (4)
- So-so
- Unknown
- Better because of benefits (3)
- Some overpaid
- Most problems are with classification, not compensation





For which positions, if any, has turnover been a problem? Why do you think that is? Which positions are difficult to recruit? Why do you think that is?





Recruitment/Retention

- Pages (12)
- Processors (7) high turnover: low pay, tedious work, no mentoring
- Part-time positions (6)
- Tech Assistants (5): lack of accurate job description, low pay
- ½-time: no benefits (5)
- Maintenance (4): lack of job description
- Library Managers (4): stress, unexpected assignments
- ¾ quarter positions (3): no benefits





Recruitment/Retention

- MTC (2)
- MSL positions
- Difficult to recruit teen librarians, children's librarians, security, public computer specialists
- Catalogers: lack of training
- Employees leave because not being treated as professionals





Where do employees go when they leave MLS? Why do you think they leave? Where do your best employees come from?





When Employees leave:

- Private sector positions (8)
- Better Pay (6)
- Out-of-state (6)
- Other library systems (6)
- Retirements (5)
- Universities (4)
- Full-time positions (4)
- Unhappiness, boredom, philosophical differences
- Government/public service jobs





When Employees leave:

- People can't be promoted within the system (2)
- Leave because they don't feel valued (especially librarians)
- Leave for lack of control (managers)
- Leave for less stressful jobs
- Too much emphasis on degrees; not enough on work and life experience





Employees come from:

- Within (5)
- Schools (2)
- Other customer service jobs (2)
- Library school (2)
- Friends of employees
- Other libraries
- Upper level outside the system





What would be a successful outcome of the project? What would you put on your wish list?





- More money (4)
- Better benefits
- Understanding of how classifications and grades are determined; clear, accurate and fair system (5)
- Greater compensation for skills and experience (3)
- MLS positions more attractive to those with strong skills
- Potential to hire or fill positions
- People treated fairly (2)
- More opportunities for advancement
- Managers/upper level positions really understand the duties performed by others



- Clear expectations of position duties
- Answer concerns about equity
- Commission supports outcome
- Decrease turnover, increase satisfaction (2)
- Authority matches responsibility (2)
- Ways to effect change to be more efficient and effective
- Employee suggestion box returned to use (electronically?)
- Less hierarchy and more empowerment w/o negative repercussions
- Librarians are valued as professionals (2)
- Children's Librarians receive support (2)





- Exit interviews
- 360-degree reviews of supervisors
- Lead positions i.e., senior circulation clerk
- Roll-over for sick leave and AVL (2)
- Gym membership
- Paid for unused sick leave
- Better use of substitute staff
- Pay, benefits, training, transparency
- We all understand how our system works
- No classifications lowered
- Name-brand toilet paper ©





- To not be held back on promotions
- Reevaluate pay scale to match cost of living
- Opportunities for cross-training
- More job shadowing
- Get rid of nepotism rule





Other

- Like that the study will give employees the opportunity to understand our roles and how jobs fit into the Strategic Plan
- People are unhappy with change (re study)
- Everyone has a different perception of their job and its meaning
- "Hate" new evaluations makes everyone aim to be average
- Nowhere to go with ideas
- Punished rather than rewarded for innovation
- Lack the tools to do our jobs (i.e., computers)
- Online evaluations are easier for employees but harder for supervisors

